		Application N	Application Number		10/676,772		
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	Filing Date First Named Inventor Group Art Unit			Peter B. Key 2155		
FORM	First Named I						
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)							Group Art Ur
		Confirmation	Confirmation Number		7889		
Sent via Express Mail Label No.:		Examiner Na	Examiner Name			David R. Lazaro	
		Attorney Docl	Attorney Docket Number		306423.01		
	ENCLOS	SURES (check al	that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached	Assig	nment Papers in Application)				e Communication to TC	
Amendment / Reply (pages)	Drawing(s) (sheets) Declaration Newly Executed (pages)			-	Appeals and In		
Affidavits/declaration(s)					Appeal Commu (Appeal Notice, Brid	mication to TC of, Reply Brief)	
Extension of Time Request	A copy from a prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d)) (pages)				Proprietary Info	ormation	
Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement with	Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application General Power of Attorney (SB80) 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)				Status Letter		
Form PTO/SB/08A (pages)					Application Da	ta Sheet	
Response to Notice to File Missing Parts A copy of the Notice to File Missing Parts Under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.5							
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a))				⊠	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:				☑ Issue Fee Transmittal ☑ Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance			
June 5, 2008 /Noemi Tovar/							
Date Signature Norm Tovar Printed Name	Remarks 2 The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required, or credit any overpayments, to Depost Account No. 50-0463 for the above identified patent application.						
SIGNA	TURE O	F ATTORN	EY OR A	١G١	ENT		
Signature /Aaron C. Chatterjee/	Reg	g. No.	41,398				
Name of Attorney or Agent	Aar	on C. Chatter	jee				
Date June 5, 2008	Tel.	(425) 706-74	53	Fa	csimile No.	(425) 708-5046	
Assignee Name:	ONE MICRO	MICROSOFT CORPORATION ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052					
Customer Number:		22971					

First Named Inventor: Peter B. Key Attorney Docket No.: 306423.01 2155 Application No.: 10/676.772 Group Art Unit: Filed: Confirmation Number: 7889 September 30.

2003

Examiner:

David R. Lazaro

Customer No.: 22971 Title:

BACKGROUND TRANSPORT SERVICE

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1460

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action.

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the

Page 1 of 2

Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file.

	Respectfully submitted,
	Microsoft Corporation
Date: June 5, 2008	By: /Aaron C. Chatterjee/
	Aaron C. Chatterjee, Reg. No.: 41,398
	Direct telephone (425) 706-7453
	Microsoft Corporation
	One Microsoft Way
	Redmond WA 98052-6399

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

June 5, 2008	/Noemi Tovar/	
Date	Noemi Tovar	